

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/597,207	07/14/2006	Yasumasa Harihara	TDK-PAUS0011 7746			
58937	7590 06/01/2007		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 985	OFFICE, PLLC 5	CHEN, SHIH CHAO				
CHAPEL HIL	L, NC 27515-9855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2821			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/01/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

						Z)			
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		y			
		10/597,20	7	HARIHARA, YASUMASA		•			
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Shih-Chao	Chen	2821					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve will apply and will o, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2006.								
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	□ Claim(s) 3-8 and 10 is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.									
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	= : :						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority und	ler 35 I I S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
-	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority und	ci 00 0.0.0. g 110(a)	-(a) or (i).					
۵),	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s háve heer	received						
	Certified copies of the priority documents			on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	-		a in inis National	Olage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	' ''	d.					
			,						
				•					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	асепт Арріісацоп					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/597,207 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 14, 2006 has been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the multi-layer structure that incorporates at least filter element must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Application/Control Number: 10/597,207 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Figure 12-13 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The abstract is objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaloi (U.S. Patent No.4,051,478).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,207 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 1, Kaloi teaches in figures 1-7 a module substrate with an antenna comprising: a substrate body [14]; and first and second radiation conductors [16, 16] provided on a predetermined surface of the substrate body [14] and being symmetrical in shape, wherein the first and the second radiation conductors are asymmetrical with respect to a first line that passes a power-supplying point [17] of the first radiation conductor and a power-supplying point [17] of the second radiation conductor (See Fig. 2a-2b & 7).

Regarding claim 2, Kaloi teaches in figures 1-7 the module substrate with an antenna as claimed in claim 1, wherein each of the first and the second radiation conductors [16] is designed such that an area of a region on one side as viewed from the first line differs from an area of a region on the other side (See Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 9, Kaloi teaches in figures 1-7 the module substrate with an antenna as claimed in claim 1, further comprising a ground pattern [18] provided on a surface different from the predetermined surface of the substrate body [14] and opposed to the first and the second radiation conductors [16, 16].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaloi (Cited above) in view of Koert et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,218,374).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,207

Art Unit: 2821

Kaloi teaches every feature of the claimed invention in paragraph 7 except a multi-layer structure that incorporates at least filter element.

Tuttle et al. teaches in figures 5a-5b a multi-layer structure [42, 46] that incorporates at least filter element [32', 36'].

In view of the above statement, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by using multi-layer with filter as taught by Tuttle et al. in order to provide an array structure one output filter (See col. 6, lines 13-16).

10. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaloi (Cited above) in view of Tuttle et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,375,780).

Kaloi teaches every feature of the claimed invention in paragraph 7 except semiconductor IC.

Tuttle et al. teaches in figure 8 semiconductor IC [138].

In view of the above statement, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by using IC as taught by Tuttle et al. in order to provide an RFID system which utilizes RF transmitting and receiving sections on a single IC. Such a system has applications for tracking people or articles in both storage and transit (See col. 3, lines 20-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 3-8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2821

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shih-Chao Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7 AM to 5:30 PM, Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W. Owens can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Shih-Chao Chen **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2821

May 15, 2007

SXC